



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,440	05/15/2006	Andrew R. Butz	026032-5046	7877
26371 7590 10/18/2007 FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5306			EXAMINER PEDDER, DENNIS H	
			ART UNIT 3612	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/18/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/579,440

Applicant(s)

BUTZ ET AL.

Examiner

Dennis H. Pedder

Art Unit

3612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 May 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/15/2006</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 13,18, 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13 lacks antecedent for “the retainer member” and “the projection”.

Claim 18 is incorrect. The first interface member is not molded to the door trim panel.

Claim 23 is confusing and lacks antecedent in “fro the assembly”.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 11, 13, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as being anticipated by Kirejczyk.

See column 4, lines 14-22 and column 3, line 49.

Art Unit: 3612

As to claim 14, conventional manner of column 3, line 61, includes a pin on the trim panel and an aperture on the carrier.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 12, 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirejczyk.

Kirejczyk discloses the step of removing the trim panel and installing the carrier. Placing the trim panel on a holding fixture during the carrier installation is an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Applicant may seasonally challenge, for the official record in this application, this and any other statement of judicial notice in timely manner in response to this office action. Please specify the exact statement to be challenged. Applicant is reminded, with respect to the specific challenge put forth, of the duty of disclosure under Rule 56 to disclose material which is pertinent to patentability including claim rejections challenged by applicant.

Art Unit: 3612

8. Claims 1-6, 8, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirejczyk in view of Kameyama.

As stated above, an injection molded carrier is not structural. Kameyama teaches a one piece interface member 5 formed with the trim panel. Processes are not given patentable weight in a product claim (MPEP 2113), but plastic articles are commonly molded. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Kirejczyk a carrier interface member 3 and door trim interface member 5 as taught by Kameyama has an example of the conventional attachment disclosed by Kirejczyk.

As to claim 3, the juncture of 2 and 3 of Kameyama is U-shaped. Further, U-shaped retainers for hooks are of common knowledge in the art.

As to claim 6, see remarks above.

As to claim 8, Kirejczyk discloses an armrest support bracket 68. Pull cups are conventionally mounted on armrests, hence member 68 is a conventional pull cup support.

9. Claims 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirejczyk in view of Kameyama as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yazaki Corp, cited by applicant as "Kawamata".

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in the references above impact absorbers as taught by Yazaki Corp. in order to reduce injury.

10. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirejczyk in view of Nishikawa et al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Kirejczyk in integral impact absorber 16 as taught by Nishikawa et al. in order to reduce injury.

11. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirejczyk in view of Nishikawa et al. as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Kameyama.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in the references above retainers as taught by Kameyama in order to easily attach and remove the trim panel and carrier.

12. Claims 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirejczyk in view of Nishikawa et al. as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Yazaki Corp.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Kirejczyk as modified by Nishikawa et al., with an integral impact absorber, with both upper and lower absorbers as taught by Yazaki Corp. in order to improve protection.

As to claims 20-21, both Nishikawa et al. and Yazaki Corp. detail wall projections.

As to claim 22, see claim 8 above.

Conclusion


13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Pacella et al. is cited to show another carrier and trim panel secured together for shipment. Lin et al., cited by applicant, but published after the effective date, is further cited to show carrier and trim panel secured for shipment.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (571) 272-6667. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

Art Unit: 3612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Dennis H. Pedder
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3612

10/10/07

DHP
10/12/2007